

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/617,849

Applicant(s)

ADEDEJI ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey C. Mullis

Art Unit

1711

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Michael Buchanan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jeffrey Mullis, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Group 1200

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicants' attorney to request that claim 18 be amended to recite a process since it was the position of the examiner that it was not clear if the product made by the prior art was different than that of applicants even though made by a different process. .